


Field Sampling Activities Begun on Woman and Walnut Creek Areas

The Rocky Flats Plant recently began field surveying activities at the Woman Creek drainage area (OU5) and the Walnut Creek drainage

the C Series detention ponds and several areas of surficial soil disturbance

Characterize the nature and extent of contamination at the sites if present

Support a Phase I Baseline Risk Assessment and Environmental Evaluation



OU6 (Walnut Creek drainage) comprises 21 IHSSs including

the A and B Series detention ponds

spray fields where water was sprayed to hasten its evaporation

an old outfall where Building 771 laundry waste water and floor drain water was discharged into open surface drain age

Within these broad objectives site specific data needs have been identified based on specific contaminants potentially present at each IHSS and the data needs for the Phase I Baseline Risk Assessment and Environmental Evaluation. The field sampling activities outlined in the RFI/RI Work Plans include surface water and sediment sampling, surface and subsurface soil sampling, alluvial ground water sampling, soil gas surveys, geophysical surveys, air monitoring, and radiation surveys.

The Draft Phase I RFI/RI Report for OU5 is scheduled for completion in November 1993 and the Draft Report for OU6 is scheduled for completion in August 1993. Both documents will be submitted to EPA and CDH. Details of field sampling activities are contained in the OU5 and OU6 Phase I RFI/RI Work Plans, which are available in the Reading Rooms listed on page 6. ■

area (OU6). These activities are part of the Phase I RCRA Facility Investigation and Remedial Investigation (RFI/RI) for each OU. Both OUs consist of potentially contaminated surface water, stream and pond sediments, and soil. Radionuclides, metals, inorganic compounds, and organic compounds from adjacent IHSSs may have seeped into the Woman and/or Walnut Creek drainages.

OU5 (Woman Creek drainage) comprises ten IHSSs including

the original landfill, an area previously used to dispose of general RFP waste

an incinerator used for RFP office and dumpster wastes

the ash pits that received incinerator residues

a former concrete wash pad where uncured concrete for RFP construction was deposited and where concrete delivery trucks were washed (Incinerator ash may also have been deposited on the concrete wash pads)

trenches that held excess sludge from the sewage treatment plant and


a soil dump used to contain excavated soil

The majority of these OU5 and OU6 IHSSs are inactive and have not supported plant functions since approximately 1968. Only a few limited investigations have been conducted at these IHSSs.

Based on data from preliminary investigations, the following objectives for both the OU5 and OU6 Phase I RFI/RIs were identified:

Characterize the physical and hydrogeologic setting of the IHSSs

Assess the presence or absence of contamination at the sites



IAG Milestones Met

OU16 – The Final No Further Action Justification Document was delivered to EPA and CDH on July 30, 1992, meeting the IAG milestone. ■

OU9 Original Process Waste Lines

Operable Unit 9 (OU9) consists of a 35 000 foot network of underground pipes and tanks extending through out much of the RFP main production complex. This pipeline network called the Original Process Waste Lines


(OPWL) was used to transfer wastes generated during operational processes from their origination point to the RFP process waste facility

Only one IHSS was originally part of OU9 but further investigative studies at RFP prompted DOE, EPA and CDH to agree in April 1992 to transfer 20 additional IHSSs that are part of the process waste line system from other OUs into OU9. This OU is being studied because it is believed that soils may have been affected by leaking waste transport pipes or tanks. Possible contaminants include nitrates, acids, caustics and radionuclides.

A RCRA Facility Investigation/Remedial Investigation (RFI/RI) Phase I Work Plan designed to investigate areas close to existing and already removed OPWL pipelines and tanks was also approved by CDH and EPA in April 1992. Field work will begin in late 1992 and will include soil borings and test pits to further identify sources and extent of soil contamination.

A subsequent Phase II RFI/RI will investigate the nature and extent of surface water, ground water and air contamination and evaluate potential contaminant migration.

The original OPWL system began operating in 1952 and additions were made to the system through 1975. It was replaced over the 1975 – 1983 period by a process waste system that can be easily inspected. Some tanks and pipelines from the original system were incorporated into the new process waste system. ■




OU16 Low-Priority Sites

Operable Unit 16 (OU16) covers miscellaneous leak and waste treatment areas located around RFP that are considered the least likely to cause health or environmental problems. OU16 contains seven IHSSs that were grouped together in the IAG because of the likelihood that previous response actions or natural environmental processes at these areas had already eliminated the need for further action. In accordance with EPA guidance, No Further Action is appropriate at sites where such processes have mitigated risk to human health and the environment. Because such actions have occurred at six of the OU16 IHSSs, DOE has proposed to take no further action at these sites. DOE submitted a No Further Action Justification document to EPA and CDH on July 30, 1992, as required by the IAG. However, because neither EPA nor CDH has approved the document, it is being revised and will be resubmitted on October 16, 1992. DOE concluded that five of the seven IHSSs in OU16 will not require further remediation. The remaining two IHSSs will require further action but will be investigated as parts of other OUs.

The No Further Action Justification document for OU16 describes the site history, geology, hydrogeology, climatology, and current and possible future land use to characterize OU16. Each IHSS, its spill history, potential contamination, and remedial action if one was performed is described. Also described is a site conceptual model for OU16 that includes contaminant sources, release mechanisms, transport pathways, exposure routes, and receptors. This type of model is used to evaluate the amount, if any, of risk to human health or the environment.

The document also includes a proposal for what IHSSs should be further investigated. (See above article: What's an RFI/RI?)

Once EPA and CDH have approved the No Further Action Justification document, DOE will encourage public



input through the usual process including a public information meeting, public comment meeting, and public comment period. ■

New Documents

OU9 Original Process Waste Lines Final Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan
RCRA Permit Modification Request No. 9
Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan for Operable Unit 9 Original Process Waste Lines
Health and Safety Plan for RFI/RI at Operable Unit 3
Environmental Restoration Program Monthly Report for June 1992
Environmental Restoration Program Monthly Report for July 1992
Rocky Flats Transition Plan Report to Congress
State RCRA Permit Modification for Waste System Evaporator Project Phase I
Supporting Information for the State RCRA Permit Modification for Waste System Evaporator Project Phase I
EMD Administrative Procedure Manual
Health and Safety OU1
Work Plan OU1/Startup O&M of the IM/IRAP for the 881 Hillside
Work Plan OU4 Volume I
Final Subsurface Interim Measures/Interim Remedial Action Plan/Environmental Assessment and Decision Document for Operable Unit No. 2 Volume I and II
Responsiveness Summary for the Final Subsurface Interim Measures/Interim Remedial Action Plan and Decision Document for the 903 Pad Mound and East Trenches Areas for Operable Unit No. 2

Calendar of Events

Quarterly Environmental Restoration Public Information Meeting and Plant Tour

October 8, 1992 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.
at the Rocky Flats Plant Building 60

General Rocky Flats Plant Tours

October 12 and November 9, 1992
Please call 303 966 4261 one week in advance for reservations

Colorado Council on Rocky Flats Meeting (Tentative)

October 27 and November 24, 1992
7:00 p.m. Please call the Council to verify meeting date and location at 303 232 1966

DOE Requests Permit Modification No. 9

DOE is requesting a Class 3 modification to its current RCRA Part B permit for additional hazardous waste tank storage and treatment. Class 3 modifications are changes that substantially alter the facility or its operations. The modification must undergo public comment and be approved by the Colorado Department of Health (CDH).

This permit modification would upgrade a portion of the existing liquid process waste treatment facility at the Rocky Flats Plant Building 374. The proposed upgrade would consist of the addition of a thin film evaporator and a salt immobilization system including associated feed storage tanks. This equipment would concentrate and immobilize the low level mixed waste. The new equipment would provide increased capacity and improved

capability for waste evaporation and immobilization.

A 60 day comment period for the permit modification request began August 25, 1992 and ends October 23, 1992. A public information meeting to discuss this Class 3 permit modification was held on October 1, 1992. Written comments will be accepted throughout the comment period.

Please address written comments to Gary Baughman, CDH, 4210 East 11th Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80220 or call 303 331 4847. Mr. Baughman can also provide RFP's compliance history during the life of the permit. The RCRA permit modification request and supporting documents are available for review at the reading rooms listed on page 6. ■

Rocky Flats Forms Citizen Review Group

DOE and EG&G Rocky Flats have formed a Citizen Review Group (CRG) to initiate public involvement activities on the Comprehensive Treatment and Management Plan (CTMP). The CTMP is being developed to manage land disposal restricted (LDR) wastes generated over the past 40 years of operation and will help bring those wastes into compliance with today's regulations. The

CTMP identifies specific LDR wastes at the plant that are covered in the Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement. It states how these wastes will be brought into compliance and develops the milestones for those wastes that require treatment.

Comprising participants from academia, business, government and surrounding communities, the CRG

meets regularly to review the CTMP and related technology development materials and will provide comments to DOE and EPA, who will then consider how to incorporate their suggestions. A 60 day public comment period will then be initiated during which a public information meeting/workshop will be conducted to fully explain LDR wastes and the CTMP. ■

Public Invited to Use Reading Rooms

The following reading rooms contain current information technical reports and reference documents on environmental restoration at the Rocky Flats Plant

Rocky Flats Environmental Monitoring Council
1536 Cole Boulevard Suite 325
Denver West Office Park Building 4
Golden Colorado 80401
303 232 1966

Hours

Monday - Friday 8 30 a m - 5 00 p m

EPA Superfund Records Center
999 18th Street Suite 500
Denver Colorado 80202 2405
303 293 1807

Hours

Monday - Friday 8 00 a m - 4 30 p m

Colorado Department of Health
Rocky Flats Program Unit
4210 East 11th Avenue Room 420
Denver Colorado 80220
303 331 4855

Hours

Monday - Friday 8 00 a m - 5 00 p m

Rocky Flats Public Reading Room
Front Range Community College Library
3645 West 112th Avenue
Level B Center of Building
Westminster Colorado 80030
303 469 4435

Hours

Monday - Tuesday 12 00 p m - 8 00 p m

Wednesday 11 00 a m - 4 00 p m

Thursday - Friday 8 00 a m - 4 00 p m

Standley Lake Library
8485 Kipling Street
Arvada Colorado 80005
303 423 4600

Hours

Monday - Friday 10 00 a m - 9 00 p m

Friday - Saturday 10 00 a m - 5 00 p m

Sunday 12 00 p m - 5 00 p m

United States Department of Energy
Freedom of Information and Privacy Branch
Office
1000 Independence Avenue S W
Washington D C 20585
202 586 6025

Hours


Monday - Friday 9 00 a m - 4 00 p m
(Eastern time zone)

Information Repository

Published by EG&G Rocky Flats Inc **Community Relations** P O Box 464 Golden CO 80402 0464 for the U S Department of Energy
Contract No DE AC04 90DP62349 Telephone 303 966 5754 for general information or 303 966-6159 to have your name placed on
the mailing list The toll free meeting information number is 800 446 7640 Printed on Recycled Paper

EG&G Rocky Flats Inc
P O Box 464
Golden CO 80402 0464

First Class Mail
US Postage
PAID
Denver CO
Permit No 162



ROCKY FLATS

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION

UPDATE



A PERIODIC UPDATE ON ROCKY FLATS CLEANUP • SEPTEMBER 1992

Report on Transition Submitted to Congress

Building by Building Cleanup

The transition process will involve all 436 buildings at the plant. However, because many of these buildings provided general support functions, they are not known to be contaminated and will probably require nothing further than administrative transfer and documentation of their condition.

Areas that may have been contaminated by previous activities in other buildings will be remediated as set forth in applicable environmental regulations. Transition activities include describing current conditions, cataloging and removing equipment, materials, and wastes, consolidating plutonium and other nuclear materials, and cleanup to a point where the buildings can be put to other uses.

For each building, the process will generally range from 1 to 3 years, with the overall plant cleanup expected to last beyond the next decade. Cleanup activities must take into consideration the interdependence of RFP programs, such as the dual missions of disposing of special nuclear materials and maintaining production contingency readiness. In addition, the plant must meet other requirements related to waste management, environmental restoration, safety, security, and the maintenance of numerous support services and facilities, such as roads, water supply, sewage treatment, and medical facilities.

Socio Economic Issues

RFP currently employs about 8,300 people and generates an additional 19,000 jobs in the region through the purchase of goods and services both by the plant and its employees. RFP

On July 31, 1992, the Rocky Flats Plant (RFP) submitted a report to Congress that summarizes the proposed plans for the conversion of a majority of the plant from weapons production to other uses. Previously, in January 1992, President Bush had canceled production of the W88 warhead, and Admiral Watkins, Secretary of Energy, subsequently announced the transition of RFP and acceleration of the non-nuclear consolidation process.

According to the report, DOE will pursue resumption of plutonium operations in two buildings at the plant. These buildings will be used for maintaining a production contingency in the event of national defense needs until a replacement facility can be built elsewhere in the DOE complex. These buildings may also be used for decommissioning and waste processing.

The report summarizes RFP's future goals and presents a strategy for achieving them. It addresses several concerns, including the building by building cleanup, plans for the workforce, and socio-economic issues. The major points of these three concerns are listed in this article. ■

is also located in a populated area with some two million potentially impacted people living within 50 miles of the plant. In planning the plant's transition, the issue of job losses must be examined.

DOE's ultimate goal for the future of RFP is environmental restoration and economic development to minimize socio-economic impacts. The aim is to identify and develop opportunities for RFP staff to remain productively employed (not necessarily at RFP) and to make use of existing high-tech facilities for commercial or industrial development.

Plans for the Workforce

In spite of DOE's efforts to retain RFP employees or place them in positions at other DOE sites, the plant's change in mission is likely to necessitate some layoffs. DOE is working with local communities to minimize the effects of any such reductions. Possible measures to achieve this goal include the following:

- incentives for voluntary retirement
- training for displaced and re-assigned employees
- outplacement assistance
- protection of health care benefits and health monitoring

The end result of the transition will be decontamination, dismantlement of many RFP facilities, and finally removal from service. The next step would involve transfer for alternative uses, which may be used by private industries, other DOE operations, or other government agencies.

One issue not covered in the report to Congress is that of the potential liability on the part of future users or owners of land at Rocky Flats. This issue must be considered before any alternative uses are established.

A public information meeting on the RFP Transition Report to Congress was held on September 16 in Westminster. The report is available for public review in the Reading Rooms listed on page 6. ■

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION
REVIEW WAIVER PER
CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

What's an OU? What's an IHSS? What's an RFI/RI?

OU/IHSS

Cleanup of the Rocky Flats Plant is broken down into two levels to simplify management of the process. The more detailed level of division is the **individual hazardous substance site** (IHSS – pronounced eye hiss) formerly known as a Solid Waste Management Unit or schmoo. An IHSS is a discrete location where possible contamination needs to be investigated such as an above ground or underground tank or pipeline. There are 178 IHSSs at RFP identified in the IAG.

The more general level of division is the **operable unit** (OU). An OU is made up of several IHSSs based on types of contamination, regulatory requirements, locations, the media that have been contaminated (soil or water, for example), the technologies that are likely to be used to clean up the contamination, or previous uses of the contaminated area. An example is the Original Process Waste Lines (OU9) which includes a 35,000 foot network of underground pipes and tanks. This OU is an example of OUs that do not have separate tidy boundaries. (See companion article for a description of OU9.) There are 16 OUs at RFP.

Dividing the RFP cleanup into IHSSs and OUs makes the work to be done more manageable and assures that the most serious problems get attention first. The order of cleanup of the OUs has been ranked according to estimated potential risk to human health or the environment and public concern. OU1 has highest priority

OU16 the lowest (see related article on page 2). Remediation of one OU may begin before work on another has been completed, so activities at one OU may overlap in time with activities at another OU. Interim remedial action may also be started at any time if a short term solution is considered necessary to protect human health or the environment. Interim actions must be compatible with the final remedy chosen.

RFI/RI

The **RCRA Facility Investigation/ Remedial Investigation** (RFI/RI) discussed in the following articles is part of a comprehensive phased program of site characterization, remedial investigations, feasibility studies, and remedial/corrective actions supporting the goal of environmental restoration (ER).

The ER program is designed to investigate and clean up contaminated sites at RFP. This program is organized into the major activities shown below. The first activity, the initial assessment, has already been completed at the Rocky Flats Plant. The work plans mentioned in the articles on OU5, OU6, and OU9 are part of the remedial investigations program currently in progress.

Initial Assessment includes preliminary assessments and site inspections to assess potential environmental concerns.

Remedial Investigations (referred to as RFI/RI at Rocky Flats but functionally the same as an RI)

include planning and implementation of sampling programs to determine the nature and extent of contamination at specific sites, evaluate potential contaminant migration pathways, and perform baseline risk assessments.

Feasibility Studies evaluate remedial alternatives and remedial action plans to mitigate environmental problems identified as needing correction in the Remedial Investigation.

Proposed Plan (or Draft Permit Modifications) includes the proposed remedial alternative.

Record of Decision (or RCRA Permit) presents the final decision or remedial alternative.

Remedial Design and Remedial Action include design and implementation of site specific remedial actions selected on the basis of the Feasibility Studies.

Compliance and Verification implement monitoring and performance assessments of remedial actions and then verify and document the adequacy of remedial actions carried out under the Remedial Design and Remedial Action.

The Interagency Agreement (IAG) signed by EPA, CDH, and DOE outlines the schedule for completion of major activities and states which regulatory agency has the lead for overseeing cleanup at each OU. ■

IAG Schedule Revision Possible

Almost two years have passed since the Interagency Agreement (IAG) was signed among EPA, CDH, and DOE. The IAG establishes a procedural framework and schedule through which response actions are developed, implemented, and monitored in accordance with CERCLA, RCRA, and the Colorado Hazardous Waste Act. It clarifies the roles of EPA, CDH, and DOE, coordinates oversight efforts, and corrective actions, standardizes requirements, and ensures

compliance with orders and permits. The IAG specifies delivery of major reports, project management activities, and milestones, and also outlines community involvement and decision making responsibilities.

When the IAG was signed in January 1991, some basic assumptions and projections were made on timing and expense for remediating the 16 OUs at RFP. Based on progress to date and up to date data, DOE

believes that a modified schedule for the IAG would reflect more current assumptions regarding the environmental restoration work yet to be undertaken at RFP. Consequently, DOE has asked EPA and CDH to consider changing the IAG schedule. After DOE has provided explanations for why it considers schedule changes necessary, EPA and CDH will evaluate the proposal. ■